From: Lloyd, Trevor To: Oakley, Katherine; Bronczyk, Christopher Cc: Roman, Brandon Subject: FW: Feb. 4 Agenda Item 8(D) - Housing Affordability Package 2.5a Date: Monday, February 3, 2025 10:41:14 AM Attachments: 25-2-3a Wray Itr to Planning Commission.docm image001.png image002.png image003.png image004.png image005.png

fyi



Trevor Lloyd, Planning Manager Planning & Building| Community Services Dept. tlloyd@washoecounty.us | Office: 775.328.3617 1001 East Ninth Street, Reno, NV 89xxx

From: Mark Wray <mwray@markwraylaw.com>
Sent: Monday, February 3, 2025 10:27 AM
To: Planning Counter <Planning@washoecounty.gov>
Cc: dlazzareschi@gmail.com; katenelsonpe@gmail.com; Flick, Michael
<RMFlick@washoecounty.gov>; Kennedy, Linda K. <LKennedy@washoecounty.gov>; Pierce, Rob
<RPierce@washoecounty.gov>; jib2424@sbcglobal.net; Gustafson, Jennifer
<jgustafson@da.washoecounty.gov>; Lloyd, Trevor <TLloyd@washoecounty.gov>
Subject: Feb. 4 Agenda Item 8(D) - Housing Affordability Package 2.5a

This Message Is From an External Sender

This message came from outside of Washoe County -- DO NOT CLICK on links or open attachments unless you are sure the content is safe.

Report Suspicious

?

Dear Planning:

Kindly make the attached letter part of the record for the public hearing on Housing Affordability Package 2.5a (WDCA25-0001) which is Item 8(D) on tomorrow's Planning Commission agenda. I appreciate your assistance. Please call or email me with any questions.

Thanks,

Mark Wray Law Offices of Mark Wray 608 Lander Street Reno, Nevada 89509 (775) 348-8877 off. (775) 848-8877 cell mwray@markwraylaw.com

LAW OFFICES OF MARK WRAY

608 Lander Street Reno, Nevada 89509 (775) 348-8877 (775) 348-8351 fax mwray@markwraylaw.com Licensed in Nevada and California

February 3, 2025

VIA EMAIL planning@washoecounty.gov

Washoe County Planning Commission 1001 E. Ninth Street, Building A, Second Floor Reno, Nevada 89502

RE: Agenda Item 8(D) Feb. 4, 2025 Planning Commission Meeting Development Code Amendment Case No. WDCA 25-0001

Dear Planning Commissioners:

My client Citizens for Spanish Springs ("CITIZENS") remains opposed to the Housing Package 2.5 "Development Code Amendment Case Number WDCA24-0004 (Housing Affordability Package 2.5a)" which was withdrawn and replaced with WDCA 25-0001 ("Housing Affordability Package 2.5a")("Zoning Amendments").

CITIZENS has 96 members. A list of members, sign-sheets and petitions with member signatures and residence addresses was submitted into evidence as part of CITIZENS' appeal of WDCA24-0004 to the Board of County Commissioners last November. CITIZENS was formed in 2024 by Spanish Springs homeowners who joined together to hire legal counsel to oppose the Zoning Amendments as a threat to their personal and property rights. Members of CITIZENS live in, or in close proximity to, regulatory zones where the Zoning Amendments would apply.

Members of CITIZENS and their counsel appeared and testified at the public hearing on the Zoning Amendments on September 3, 2024 before the Planning Commission. On November 19, 2024 members of CITIZENS, their counsel and other Washoe County residents appeared and testified at the public hearing in support of the appeal to the Board of County Commissioners on the Zoning Amendments.

CITIZENS has representational standing as an aggrieved person under NRS 278.3195(4), Washoe County Code 110.910.02, Nat'l Ass'n of Mut. Ins. Cos. v. State Dep't of Bus. & Indus., 524 P.3d 470, 478 (Nev. 2023) and Citizens for Cold Springs v. City of Reno, 125 Nev. 625, 218 P.3d 847 (2009).

Washoe County Planning Commission February 3, 2025 Page Two

CITIZENS respectfully opposes the proposed Zoning Amendments to regulatory zones in Spanish Springs regarding "middle housing", also referred to by the County as the "missing middle". "Middle housing" is a term used by members of the County planning staff to describe multi-plex housing; e.g., duplex, triplex, fourplex, "cottage courts" and bunk houses. The County claims that "middle housing" is "missing" from the housing supply in Washoe County, thus leading to the County's use of the term "missing middle."

There is no "missing middle." The housing supply in Spanish Springs reflects the market demand for single family residences in a rural and semi-rural setting. Apartments are not missing; they were simply not desired by those who chose to live in Spanish Springs.

The Zoning Amendments propose to remove "regulatory barriers" so as to "streamline" construction of multiplex housing. The "regulatory barriers" are not barriers; they are laws that protect existing property owners in Spanish Springs who invested in their properties in reliance on the principle that the same laws that applies to them would apply to all other development in their regulatory zones. Existing laws that the County adopted – now called "regulatory barriers" – protect homeowners from having multiplex housing built in, or in close proximity to, single family homes where multiplex housing either (1) previously was not allowed at all, or (2) was allowed only through a permitting process. "Streamlining" means building multi-plex housing in or adjacent to single-family home neighborhoods by minimizing or eliminating notice to existing homeowners, including members of CITIZENS.

The County gave the proposed Zoning Amendments the name "Housing Affordability Package 2.5a" to imply that the amendments would create "affordable housing", which the County also labels as "attainable housing". There is no evidence that the Zoning Amendments would lead to either "affordable" or "attainable" housing in Washoe County.

None of the four possible findings necessary to recommend these proposed Zoning Amendments properly can be made by the Planning Commission.

The Spanish Springs valley does not have existing infrastructure to support the Zoning Amendments as required by the master plan.

The Zoning Amendments would allow accelerated urbanization of the valley and overtaxing of the valley's available water, sewer, streets and roads.

Washoe County Planning Commission February 3, 2025 Page Three

Members of CITIZENS' own single-family homes either in, or in close proximity to, regulatory zones that the County is attempting to transform into multi-plex properties, which adversely affects the value, use and enjoyment of the members' properties.

Members built or purchased their homes in reliance upon, and as protected by, existing regulatory zones, and there is no substantial or material change in circumstances to justify the Zoning Amendments.

The evidence shows that the Zoning Amendments are inconsistent with the master plan, which states, in pertinent part:

The intent of the Suburban Residential designation is to provide for a predominantly residential lifestyle with supporting mixed use nonresidential and residential uses, including commercial, public and semi-public facilities; and parks and open space. A further goal of this group is to protect the stability of existing unincorporated neighborhoods and to encourage compatible smart growth development, while allowing diversity in lifestyle that is manifested in a variety of lot sizes, density, levels of mixed-use and land use patterns. Developments proposed within the Suburban Residential designation should promote the development of walkable, mixed-use communities that meet the daily needs of residents, balance jobs and housing, offer a high quality of life, reduce the need for automobile trips, encourage the utilization of public transit and result in the creation of distinctive and attractive communities that create a strong sense of place.

Significant areas of Spanish Spring where members of CITIZENS live are designated by the Master Plan as rural. The policy of the Master Plan is to "maintain the rural character of communities in the Rural Area." See Master Plan, p. 61. The Zoning Amendments are inconsistent with the Master Plan because they destroy rural character.

It is inconsistent with the master plan to propose Zoning Amendments requiring existing residents to sacrifice their personal and property rights. The Zoning Amendments do not promote public health, safety, welfare and the purpose of the Development Code, which is to provide a "system for ensuring that growth occurs in a responsible manner in order to protect the health, safety, and welfare of the County and its residents." To be responsible growth, a regulatory zone change must take into account the effect on quality of life and the personal and property rights of existing residents, not merely the alleged interests future potential residents who the County claims must be afforded apartments in single-family neighborhoods. Washoe County Planning Commission February 3, 2025 Page Four

The Zoning Amendments are not justifiable as a "response to changed conditions". The "changed conditions" are the effects of rapid growth in the Spanish Springs valley. Responding to the adverse effects of rapid growth by "streamlining" the building of apartments in single-family residential neighborhoods exacerbates the adverse effects of the changed conditions in Spanish Springs, rather than ameliorating those effects.

The County staff has asserted that the goal of the Zoning Amendments is "a more desirable utilization of land within the regulatory zones." The infusion of apartments to single-family home neighborhoods is not a more desirable utilization of land to those who already live there.

On behalf of Citizens, it is respectfully requested that each of the Planning Commissioners find that none of the required findings can be made and that the Commission adopt a recommendation denying approval of Housing Affordability Packet 2.5a.

Sincerely,

/s/ Mark Wray

MARK WRAY

CC:

Dan Lazzareschi dlazzareschi@gmail.com Kate S. Nelson KateNelsonPE@gmail.com Amy Owens planning@washoecounty.gov R. Michael Flick rmflick@washoecounty.us Linda Kennedy Ikennedy@washoecounty.gov Rob Pierce rpierce@washoecounty.gov Jim Barnes jib2424@sbcglobal.ne jgustafson@da.washoecounty.gov tlloyd@washoecounty.gov