



Incline Village/Crystal Bay Citizens Advisory Board

DRAFT: Approval of these draft minutes, or any changes to the draft minutes, will be reflected in writing in the next meeting minutes and/or in the minutes of any future meeting where changes to these minutes are approved by the CAB Minutes of the Incline Village/Crystal Bay Citizens Advisory Board meeting held via teleconference on March 6, 2023.

1. **CALL TO ORDER/ DETERMINATION OF QUORUM** – Diane Becker, Kevin Lyons, Roxanna Dunn, Denise Davis, Chris Wood, Judy Simon
2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – The Pledge was recited.
3. **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT** –
Christina Hill said I have a general public comment for something that's not on the agenda: the Washoe County Area Plan amendment that's going before the TRPA Advisory Planning Commission this Wednesday, March 8. I read the TRPA staff summary that included the Washoe County application to amend the code of ordinances in the area plan. I found it lacking a lot of information. And one thing that the TRPA code says must be addressed is the findings that show that the amendment will not impact the environmental thresholds established in 1981 and continued until now. But one of those thresholds is land use, and housing is the sub-element of that threshold. In the first three goals of the housing sub-element, in the thresholds, it says in goal number one is to promote housing for workers employed in the region. Goal number two is affordable housing, moderate-income housing is encouraged. And goal number three is to remove barriers preventing affordable housing. I find that the application does not address the thresholds that pertain to housing. They are they're actually putting up a barrier to providing affordable housing by allowing single-family dwellings as part of their amendment. I find that very disturbing. Also, they say, multi-family is the same as a single family. It's different ownership. Well, that's not true either. I looked at the international traffic engineer's documentation showing that multi-family housing has fewer trips generated with it than single-family dwellings. So their assumptions are saying it's the same except for ownership, and it's not, and I wish they would correct their findings. I don't think they're adequate. I will address that also at the ABC on Wednesday.

Ronda Tycer asked what is our most pressing issue in Incline Village and Crystal Bay? In my opinion, the most serious threat to Incline Village and Crystal Bay, which I refer to as 'Incline,' is the persistent efforts of government representatives who don't live here to change the nature of our village. Incline Village has never been a village needing fixing. It is one of the safest places to live with one of the lowest crime rates. It has been named the prettiest town in Nevada. It has its own beach access just for residents. It features recreation venues of all types that take full advantage of the Tahoe Basin, Lake and forest, summer and winter. It's a college community with all the benefits of a top notch Environmental Research Center. And of course, being in Nevada, it has no state income tax, so it has attracted millionaires and billionaires, as well as many of us who just saved our money to be able to reside here. We came for the beauty and tranquility and the benefits of interacting with like-minded others who also consider living an Incline, both a privilege and a responsibility. But for the last decade or so, there has been an ever-increasing drumbeat that Incline needs to change: It needs to grow; It needs to become more vibrant; it needs more businesses; it needs more tourist accommodations; It needs younger people and fewer seniors. It needs to increase the town center density, height, and coverage; it needs to accommodate more tourists transportation. In short, these non-local representatives think Incline needs to become more like other places. This drumbeat is not local and does not resonate with the heartbeat of Incline residents. We will resist a parking lot and transit hub at the old elementary school. We will resist unlimited

unregulated short-term rentals throughout our neighborhoods. We will resist ADUs on our small 1/3-acre residential lots. We will resist denser traffic on our one-way-out thoroughfares. We will resist marijuana lounges for tourists that endanger our citizens. We will resist increasing attractions for tourists at the expense of residents. In short, we will resist changing from the special community we are, to something non-residents with think we should become Thank you.

Kathie Julian said thank you to Christina. My comment is on the zoning. My question is TRPA's PC committee considering that this change in the zoning will in affect limit the ability of this community to encourage workforce housing by allowing there to be luxury condos built in areas that easily luxury condos could not be built. If you can build luxury condos in the commercial area of Incline, this is going to be a detriment to small business development. Because some of our small businesses will undoubtedly be forced out because of higher price to build, there's more profit in building luxury condos than in building office space or commercial space for mundane things like dry cleaners and convenience stores, and other services that we need here as residents and tourists, I would argue. My second comment is on the Washoe County evacuation plan for Incline Village as part of the larger multi-phase plan for Washoe County. That plan did not adequately factor in tourism in the basin and tourism in Incline Village, Crystal Bay. The assumptions underlying this plan, even the first phase, need to be revisited on an urgent basis. I would request the county hold a workshop this spring to discuss this section of the Washoe County Evacuation Plan with Miss Kelly Echevarria, who I understand is the staff person in charge of it. And yet our input as to our concerns about the underlying assumptions behind that plan. Thank you very much.

Ann Nichols said Nort Tahoe Preservation Alliance, said I really want to ask Alexis Hill to have public workshops about the Tahoe Prosperity Center. This is an unelected group with 12 members of the board. The last time I looked at the website, they were from South Shore. They are justification for changing our area plans. It's the density coverage. And it's coming to Incline village. It's already the justification for the Washoe housing study, which humorously says that 25% of our residents are 65 or older, and that's too much. This has to become transparent. They represented themselves at the Douglas County hearing as an agency. They're not elected. They got some money from HUD. They got some money from Washoe County, Placer County, and the City of South Lake Tahoe, but I don't remember them being anointed. They're self-anointed as an agency. No one knows about this, and they're determining our future. So please do that, Alexis. Thank you.

Doug Flaherty, Tahoeecleanair.org, said any action taken in the Tahoe Basin cumulatively impacts all of the Tahoe Basin, including Incline Village. TRPA continues to fail its own mission statement as the Tahoe Basin freefalls out of harmony and equilibrium and degrades before our eyes. It is evident that TRPA's current policies and project approvals have cost Lake Tahoe Basin to become out of equilibrium and harmony as the TRPA and its governing partners increasingly create opportunities for more growth, more building height, more density and coverage of more human and traffic density, more vehicle miles traveled, more out of control neighborhood, and regional adverse impacts, more algae, invasive weeds and species growth, more degradation of our streams, more microplastics in the lake, and decreased Lake clarity. Additionally, TRPA's mindset of more and more and more effects potentially contributing to what is already widely recognized as a dire public safety peril. This is in the face of current unworkable and inadequate wildfire evacuation, winter travel peril, and safety capability due to human overcapacity. There are five imminent and carefully orchestrated adverse cumulative effect actions about to be undertaken, finalized by the pro growth, pro developer to TRPA and its government partners and government financially assisted non government organizations NGOs. The following five actions will have significant cumulative

widespread adverse environmental and safety effects on Lake Tahoe Basin and Incline Village. They are: the proposed Special Area One Code amendments to the Washoe Tahoe Area Plan; the proposed Placer Tahoe Basin Area Plan amendments; the TRPA-driven proposed increases in height, density and coverage amendments; the ongoing TRPA manipulation of threshold carrying capacity standards in numerous developer-favored large projects poised to take advantage of the proposed amendments listed above. Before the five actions listed above proceed, TRPA must provide a supplemental cumulative effect environmental impact statement to the 2012 Regional Plan, taking into consideration 13 years of basin wide cumulative effects from all private and public projects. This is based on new information and circumstances explained in my intent and attachment since the 2012 Regional Plan adoption, which has had a cumulative impact on Lake Tahoe's environment equilibrium and harmony. Thank you.

Richard Beatty said I think that the technical explanations laid out by Mr. Flaherty and Ann Nichols and Christina Hill speak for themselves. I've worked as a developer in Sacramento and have a background in development. And basically, I just wanted to try to push the TRPA in a direction that is becoming more common in the economic scene: we need to treat the Tahoe basin as a closed system. And any development that takes place at this point will essentially have a negative impact on the environment unless it goes back to existing projects that have become dilapidated or that should never have been allowed in the first place and upgraded those. I think that anything that I say in terms of traffic or lake clarity would probably be redundant. I'm sure you all are familiar with the studies. I'm sure if you drive the roads, you will see the problems. If you look in the lake, we all know that lake clarity has flattened off at about 61 feet, which is somewhat of a testimony to the good work of the TRPA over the last 20 years, but clearly is not enough. But again, the point that I would like to hammer home is that development doesn't have to be a new development for the financial benefit of the most favorite developers. And there actually is re-development that can be done that is not as economically rewarding to the developer but is more rewarding to the community at large, and I think that's really what we have to look at. In my estimation, we have reached the carrying capacity in terms of infrastructure for the Lake Tahoe Basin. I think TRPA needs to really take a strong look at any kind of development that should occupy the footprint of existing buildings and existing structures and existing infrastructure and try and build those up, whether by amendment or whether by acts, abatement programs or other things that are favorable for developers, which was the case for instance, with historical development in all Sacramento. It's something similar in terms that could be done in the Lake Tahoe Basin. I appreciate all of your time as board members and the input you put into it.

4. WASHOE COUNTY DEPARTMENT UPDATES: This item includes the Washoe County Sheriff's Office and the Truckee Meadows Fire Protection District. **(Non-Action Item)**

Captain Blane Beard was in attendance to answer questions. Chair Becker said I do have one request that I would make of the Sheriff's Department. As you've heard from some of the public comment, and I know that a number of individuals in the community are very concerned about the evacuation plan. They're very concerned about the ability of the limited roads that lead out of Incline Village, Crystal Bay, and the ability to evacuate all of the people who live here and all of the tourists in the event of an emergency. And there are many kinds of emergencies. I would like to ask if you would consider as part of the Sheriff's Department that is in charge of our safety and security, to take a position and investigate whether there is adequate consideration of evacuation plans for our community. It would be very appreciated.

Mr. Beard said we would certainly do that. And we're fully aware of the the importance of having that in place and making sure that we work with with all the local entities to make sure that in the

event, something like that, wherever do occur, that we have all of our means in place to make sure we have a plan in place. So that's something we're gonna look at definitely with the spring and summer months coming. We'll have something for public comment on that as well. It's definitely something that we are preparing for. In the event we hopefully never have to do, but we'll be ready if and when that time comes. I will have a full statement to make on that as the summer months draw closer.

Chair Becker said that would be appreciated. I want to explain it's not just that you're ready to you know how to go door to door, and you can perhaps adopt some of the emergency procedures of other areas. I think it's a bigger question. There is a concern that people who don't live in Incline Village do not understand the nature of the roads and the serious risks. For instance, on Highway 28, you have parking on both sides, and people parking in the street have accidents during evacuations. We need someone knowledgeable about Incline Village and in specific situations to look at the evacuation plan. And I know Kelly Echeverria is very interested in having a good plan. I think she would appreciate input from the Sheriff's Department. Thank you.

Mr. Beard said I would certainly work with Kelly on that. So I have known her well throughout the years. And we'll definitely make sure that is in place. And that's obviously our concern, as much as well with people that do not have much knowledge of the area and knowing what streets are accessible, what would create actual log jams coming out of the village. So I'll work with Kelly and her folks on that one.

- 5. WASTE MANAGEMENT INFORMATION** – Northern Nevada Contract Manager, Kendra Kostelecky, will be providing Q&A on some of the area's most common questions regarding Waste Management services. Also, on hand to answer questions will be Madonna Dunbar, Resource Conservationist, IVGID – Waste Not Programs. **(Non-Action Item)**

Kendra Kostelecky provided a PowerPoint slideshow.

Chris Wood said I wanted to recognize Waste Management for their service. The Christmas trees on the curb were buried under the snow, and the drivers were pulling them out.

Kevin Lyons asked how many trucks run up here and how many miles do they service. Ms. Kostelecky said I could provide that information to Marc to post on the website.

Judy Simon asked how services to condominiums or associations are handled. Ms. Kostelecky said in Incline Village, property managers have the choice of whether or not they want to have a single-family or a commercial-style service. Oftentimes, due to limited space, it doesn't make sense to have everyone with their own individual cart, and it would be less expensive to have a shared bin. Multi-families will have a commercial enclosure with a shared bin. The services are different. Shared bins don't have the 96 green waste stickers. That isn't a service available to you in a shared service. Ms. Simon said to follow up, the 4-trips and 3 cubic yards are not offered to residents of condominiums. Ms. Kostelecky said they are if your condominiums have individual, single-residential service, but if you are using a shared dumpster, that would not be included in that account. Ms. Simon said if you are in that situation and are doing a remodel or move-out, you cannot take your trash to the transfer station. Ms. Kostelecky said the transfer station is open to the public, and you can use it however you would like, anytime. If you want to use one of the four free dumps, you will have your own individual bill for trash service. If you are

paying your HOA and taking your trash out to a dumpster, then you have a shared service and don't have access to 96 green waste stickers or four free dumps. Ms. Simon said what the options are. Do you have to pay for that? And what would be the charge? Ms. Kostelecky said it depends on how much service you want. Ms. Simon said if you get 3-cubic yards, 4 trips per year, on your Waste Management bill, then if you live in an association that uses a dumpsters, what are you supposed to do with those cubic yards. Ms. Kostelecky said you aren't paying for those cubic yards. You have a different overall level of service and probably have a lower cost because you are sharing it with your neighbors. If you have a truckload of trash, you can take that to the transfer station and pay the gate-rate. You could order a bin if you are doing a project and have a drive way large enough for a bin. If you have a project in mind, I would recommend stopping by and talking to our office to speak with Baranessa who can give you service levels that are appropriate for you. Ms. Simon said I'm also thinking of the short-term rentals, so what happens if the dumpster gets overfull. Ms. Kostelecky said Incline Village has its own enforcement arm, and they have Ordinance 1, which deals with improper disposal of trash or overloaded trash carts. Madonna Dunbar, IVGID Waste Not, said we work with Waste Management. A Waste Management driver gets to a commercial dumpster or resident, they do a snapshot, and photograph the location of the issue. Every Thursday, we get summary reports of those issues. If it's a one-off overload and no animal got into it, they will receive a courtesy call and be alerted that they can't have their bin open or extra trash next to the container. We will offer service options and will follow up with more enforcement, including potential fines and or, we can increase your service. For a Commercial account, the same process occurs. We will contact the property management company and tell them we've got a report of your problem. And tell them you can't have open dumpsters or overfill, and we work very closely with them. And if we start to see a trend where there's a problem, we are now just taking the step of authorizing more service because Ordinance 1 allows us to do that. And if it's a capacity issue with repeated problems, we feel that's the proper solution. We will ask them to do it first. But if they don't, we will simply order increased service levels. We have a handful of locations that, as summer comes around, we'll be calling and saying you had issues last year and need to increase your service. So we have a very tight report going on. Clean Tahoe also provides some field service hours and inspection hours working independently under IVGID contract. They will provide us with documentation and go to repeat locations that may have had a problem. So, public works jump in and start talking about ensuring service levels are correct. Fines will be issued to get a property's attention. Often, those fines are refunded when the proper actions are taken in service issues are corrected. If you do see locations, let us know.

Public Comment:

Sara Schmitz said I understand that Waste Management offers the smaller bear cart service. But you didn't mention that in your presentation. And there's a lot of us who don't need those very large containers. I think it's important to offer that to our community. Something I hear in our community is that we do not have enough green waste, especially in the fall. I would like to see some alternatives for that. And I would like you to please encourage your drivers to respect our 25-mph speed limit here in Incline Village. Thank you for your presentation.

6. **CONTINUATION OF AGENDA ITEM 6 FROM FEBRUARY 6, 2023 MEETING – Continued discussion**
on the topic of the IVCB CAB to prepare a presentation to the Washoe County Commissioners. These discussions will take place throughout the next few months during CAB meetings. **(Action Item).**

Chair Becker introduced the item, and summarized the information received from legal counsel regarding forming a committee and open meeting law.

Ms. Simon said I've given some thought to this, and I'm not so sure that this would be helpful. I think your idea is very sound. I consider Commissioner Alexis Hill as our voice on the Commission. So I'd like to hear a little about what she thinks would be helpful for the CAB and what issues are unique or different than the other Commissioners would not be aware of. I'm not quite so sure that the effort would pay off. Commissioner Hill said this is a CAB-driven initiative. I'm not really sure how to answer that question. I think it's a good one, Judy. But if the CAB wants to reach out to other Commissioners and tell them about Incline Village and Crystal Bay, that's certainly your prerogative, and you can put together something for them.

Mr. Wood asked what do you want to address? Chair Becker said anything that you'd like to speak about.

Mr. Wood said I think snow removal ought to be addressed at some point on this and sooner rather than later only because we're right in the midst of it. The community might have some thoughts. There may be a lot of other thoughts and data to make this a good subject to tackle pretty soon. There was also an item for evacuation plan assumptions. It did not list the tourism factor as it's currently written. And Ms. Julian repeatedly brought that up about looking through that list, and that's certainly a concern. It would be helpful for the mobility advisory committee to give a presentation to us before they send out information so that there can be the background to know what's going on with that hub. I think there should be a follow-up presentation to tell us what they found out through the community outreach before making decisions. On matters to consider, item number 22 regarding TART, I think data regarding TART and all public transit in all Incline Village and Crystal Bay should be discussed.

Kevin Lyons said I definitely would agree with Chris. I finished doing some training for IVGID this week, talking about how to make public decisions, and these real logical, rational processes to doing it. I see this as an opportunity to do that. And essentially, you start from asking, 'what's the public problem?' 'How do we know what the data is?' 'How do we know what's important to prioritize?' And you start from that. Then you say, 'Okay, now what are the solutions to this; what are the possible solutions?' 'How effective are they?' 'What are the costs?' 'What are the tradeoffs?' When you go to step three, you score them. In step four, you choose the best. So then there's this loop. You make sure you're getting better, as opposed to the traditional approach. We've seen a lot, 'you know what would be great,' 'we need to do this.' If you put in the org chart of public, the money we're pooling to do stuff, the authorizations that have to go from the public to the Washoe County Board, and the staff who execute it. We're making sure that things we're spending our money on here are things that we care about or are valuable or more valuable than other things that we could focus on with the money to solve actual problems. For example, what problem are we solving with a transportation hub? No one's been able to answer that. I've heard it's part of the plan. What is that plan solving for us? I think we can model good decision-making behavior for our area to follow and the areas in Washoe County by doing something like this with structure and data, and starting from the top.

Ms. Davis said a comment I hear frequently is our community is represented on the TRPA, the TTD, and other agency boards by the same people on every board. And that's a frustration for a lot of people in our community. I'm not disparaging the people who represent us. We are represented on every agency board by the same person or people. Some people in our community don't feel it reflects part of our community.

Chair Becker said I'd like to state why this project is important. I attended the meeting where there was a vote on the amendment of the Tahoe Area Plan. I have an opinion on that amendment. My opinion was that it should not have been voted on. It doesn't mean I think I'm right because I do understand there are two sides to every story. But I was very concerned about that at that meeting, four of the five commissioners said, 'we really don't know a lot about it. So we have to defer to the fifth Commissioner.' I think that, in many situations, at least for the Commissioners, and sometimes, even our Commissioner may benefit from hearing us express, as a community, what our concerns are. Because if we just sit here and think that we are going to allow staff to make staff reports, which often say, 'there's no impact by doing this,' but they say that with no analysis, but we know there's an impact. We're not taking advantage of what is a wonderful governmental process; the governmental process is educating the people who will vote on matters that affect us with our issues. I think that this process may take a lot of work, and it may be successful and it may not be successful. But if we don't try it, we shouldn't complain if the Commissioners do not have all of the knowledge. They are extremely busy. Those agendas that the Commissioners face are daunting. There are too many issues that they have to look at. They must rely on staff reports because they can't be expected to go out and research and find additional information. If we were to make a deeply felt statement to them on significant issues, and we start to identify our key issues, and then ask them to think about those things. We have five individuals who want to do the right thing. And the best way to approach that is by giving them all the information we possibly can. And that is the best way for the government to relate well with the public. Because if the public feels that they have no opportunity to be heard, they are more frustrated. And if the public really has a real issue, because many issues that I hear, particularly in some other governmental bodies, don't make a lot of sense and are not that important. But people feel them strongly. But I can understand that there may not be enough time for public officials to get in-depth research on them. Some of our issues are critical: the workforce housing, the Tahoe Area Plan Amendment, and the transportation mobility hub. There are some significant issues, and hopefully, if we're right, we can convince our legislators, and if we can't convince them, maybe we don't have very good arguments. I'm in favor of this process.

Mr. Wood said I think it would be good to consider maybe thinking about five of the most significant issues. And then maybe instead of having one person be responsible for all of this, have certain CAB members that address those five and maybe have questions for the county attorney to work through. It's some heavy lifting on some of this. If we make impressive presentations to the County Commissioners in a brief amount of time, in a way that they get it quickly, I think we ought to consider bringing in presenters on specific subjects. And so that it's not all falling on one person.

Chair Becker said I've thought about that. If we get a whole hour of attention from a Commissioner, I think we will be very lucky. And that means it must be concise, one person doing it. We have to ask if people could provide input. We'll ask the attorney. But one person is doing a very concise slide presentation. We do have some articulate people on this group who prepare presentations. We can check if we can get people to do parts. I think that's going to be an open meeting law violation based on what he's what he said. So what would you think about appointing one person to do it and then having people volunteer? If the lawyer says we can do it, we do it. Just so we don't keep losing momentum. I'd like to get this done if we could in the next couple of months to have more of an impact.

Mr. Wood said I think it's a good idea. I think it just has to be cleared for the open meeting law requirements. Each of us picks up a subject, works it out, and feeds it to the presenter.

Chair Becker said the other question is getting public input. I haven't had a chance to ask if we can have the public write to the person that would be responsible for putting this together.

Because, number one, the public is going to have great information and factual basis. Number two, the public's input is the most important. We're just going to be gathered public information.

Sarah Schmitz said I am taking Kevin's approach. What is the problem? You identified that staff reports have information that doesn't cover our community's concerns. That's a problem. I think it should be addressed. You identified housing. My particular problem that I'm very concerned about is our environment. And when I talk about our environment, I think it's deteriorating. It is deteriorating for our wildlife. Our Lake clarity is deteriorating. And as it relates to the safety of people, it needs to be maintained and not deteriorate. So how do you solve that problem? You solve that problem by not increasing density. And how do we accomplish that? We need to have local community members on boards that are basin-based. Alexis, I'm not trying to offend you in any way, but you don't live in the basin. I think that it is in the best interest to accomplish and solve our problems if we have locally identified members that Commissioner Hill identifies who sit on the TRPA board, on the TTD board, etc. Not on supporting committees. On the board. Because we are here. We live here. We understand it. We need to protect the environment for our future generation. I think you're going down a great path. I appreciate this idea and this effort. I think that Kevin's approach of what are the problems. And Diane, you identified a couple concerns, and mine was the environment. And I tried to lay out how I thought we could solve that. Thank you.

Kathie Julian said thank you, Diane. I think this is a great idea. I have watched a number of Commissioner meetings, and I agree that the Commissioners and our community could benefit from an increased knowledge base about our community and concerns. I fully support this and hope we can work around the Open Meeting Law requirements. I do not for a moment think this is undermining our Commissioner. I think she pays a lot of attention to our area. I think this will help her engage with other committee members to help make sure that they understand the forces and the interests of our diverse community here. So I see it as a net positive. As an aside, I acknowledged what Sarah's said, but I think several informal committees with TRPA do not have community members on them. I'm not talking about the governing board or some of the more official committees where the commissioners are represented. I'm talking about the working groups that provide staff information, and I think there are some threshold committees like this. There's this housing committee, and when I've seen the list of the people on those committees, I do not see residential members who have no financial interest in the issue. To me, it's important that there's representation on some of these advisory committees, working groups that TRPA sets up, that don't have a financial interest. They are not necessarily a business person, they're not necessarily a relator, and they're not necessarily a developer. And I'm not being critical of those people. They have a role in our community as well. But I'm not seeing Joe-Blow-resident who lives here and is concerned about the environment and the community as a whole. Thank you

Roxanna Dunn said I would like to echo what Kathie said about Alexis. I just want to let Alexis know how much we appreciate her hard work, and in particular, how responsive she is to many of our issues. When discussing this presentation, I understand that our objective is to share how our situation is unique with the other Washoe County Commissioners. I expect that every district would claim that they are unique. So we have to be a little cautious about how we present that. But we can say that we're on the other side of the mountain, and so many of you don't get to see and experience what is happening here. So that's one thing I would start with is the key ways I see that we're unique is: one, tourism is such a huge part of our community, proportionally, it is a lot more impactful on us than it probably is in other parts of Washoe County. And we have the need to balance the needs of local residents with the needs of the tourists that come in. Second, I would focus on the geographic boundaries that prohibit growth as one factor contributing to our challenges. I will also echo what Sarah said about the environment. In particular, the need to adhere to the Tahoe Area Plan and the notion of coverage. That this is important for protecting the clarity of the lake, we have all these empty lots so the land can filter the water. And then

finally, the fact that we are remote makes it difficult for us to get workers here, so our continuing issue with workforce housing and our need for their help to address that. I would just like to say I used to teach classes on presentations and PowerPoint. I have consulted on PowerPoint presentations. I'm happy to do that if there's a way to work that in. I do not consider myself the content expert here. But if there's a way that we can address the Open Meeting Law and allow me to help you with the actual presentation, I'd be happy to do so.

Doug Flaherty, tahoecleanair.org, said one of the processes that TRPA uses quite often is to have a workshop. Placer County has a lot of workshops. We don't have very many workshops here. One piece of evidence to point towards not being represented is we only have to look at the Incline mobility hub committee. We have a person from Placer County who comes here and doesn't live in Tahoe. I think done correctly; this could facilitate it. I think we should set a time for a workshop; they are commonly used throughout the basin. I think there are many prosperity center workshops we don't know about. Maybe we should do an open workshop.

Chair Becker said I would like to address the workshop issue. I had hoped we could have a workshop. We didn't get a response on that particular question, so I think part of the issue is that all of our meetings need county support at them. And it may be that it is not within the budget. So I will pursue the workshop because I think that is the best way to get the community's input. But one of the things that I thought we could do is perhaps at least to begin getting started. Because it'll take a while to get the answer back. It won't be till the next meeting. I just wanted to mention that on the workshop idea. I don't think that should not be something we discussed tonight until we get a response.

Mr. Wood said I just like he pointed out with regard to the mobility hub committee, our non-Nevada resident is on the committee because it is by-state Commission. Surely, it's required. It happens to be a supervisor from Placer County. I believe there needs to be people other than representatives from Incline Village on that committee. But I tell you, everybody's listening because I am an alternate on that committee, and everybody's listening to the residents who speak and actively participate. I think there's definitely an effort to be sensitive to that.

Mr. Lyons said I agree that the workshop was one of the public options. It's getting all that local knowledge, facts, and ideas.

MOTION: Chair Becker moved that we, as a CAB, appoint Kevin Lyons to begin to put together a presentation that we can look at at the next meeting. And if we're allowed to have a workshop, I would like us to have a workshop that would occur before he completes the presentation.

Chair Becker amended her motion: Chair Becker moved that we appoint Kevin Lyons to prepare a presentation that will incorporate the items that the CAB members and public have stated for the presentation for all the commissioners, but not to violate the Open Meeting Law, so for Commissioner Hill and one other Commissioner at a time. Mr. Wood seconded the motion. Mr. Lyons asked did you have the word plan in there, 'to prepare a plan for the presentation by collecting the data'? Because it's a motion for a plan of how to collect the data. The motion carried unanimously.

- 7. CAB BOARD MEMBER/BCC NEWS AND ANNOUNCEMENTS** — This item is limited for announcements by CAB members and Commissioner Alexis Hill only*. **(Non-Action Item)**

Commissioner Hill said the transportation plan deadline has been extended to the 17th. If folks want to comment, please read the plan and provide comments by the 17th. And then that will now

be heard at the April board meeting instead of March because we wanted to give folks some additional time to provide that comment.

Mr. Lyons asked if any new data got added to the plan besides comments since we last heard about it. Commissioner Hill asked are you wanting to provide data? Mr. Lyons asked if any new data get added to the plan. Commissioner Hill said you could read the plan and check it out. What you saw at the board meeting is the same as what we provided, but it's in more detail.

Chair Becker said I would ask Marc to follow up on it so the other CAB members know I'm asking about it. It is on the public comment. Can you find out if we can also provide the written public comment like we're providing other things at the CAB agenda site? If not, we will just attach it to the minutes. It's just we're late getting our minutes out. So if you could ask that question, it would be useful.

8. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES FROM PREVIOUS MEETING [DECEMBER 5, 2022](#) AND [JANUARY 3, 2023](#) AND FEBRUARY 6, 2023 (for Possible Action)**

Mr. Lyons said I just have one comment. On page 4, for the December 5th minutes, there is a section where Mr. Lyons is talking about the data for the plan. The comment after that is actually Chris's comment asking about Lakeshore. If that could be added to reflect ""Mr. Lyons said"" and Mr. Wood said""

MOTION: Chris Wood moved that both the minutes from the December 5 and January 3, 2023 meetings of the Citizens Advisory Board are approved with corrections to the December 5th meeting minutes. Diane Becker seconded the motion, which carried unanimously in favor. The February 6, 2023 were no part of the motion.

Chair Becker said I have one other thing to comment on regarding the approval of the minutes. It would be great, Marc, if you could see if we could possibly get them a little earlier. We just got the February 6 today. It's not your fault. You're just getting them over the weekend from the person doing them. But anything you could do to move it earlier so we could get them all approved more timely. I would appreciate it.

9. **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT —**

Doug Flaherty said if the CAB is hamstrung and can't facilitate a public meeting, TahoeCleanAir.org will be happy to pay for that and do it ourselves and have it over in one of the big meeting halls, the same way groups like the prosperity center do it. I would hope the CAB will be able to pull that off. And maybe we'll have one facilitator from the CAB that can facilitate that. The TRPA and its area plan partners are well aware and have witnessed past obvious wildfire evacuation and winter peril, as it exists currently due to human and roadway overcapacity. TRPA and its partners negligently fail to warn basin residents and visitors of these public safety perils, including the possibility of injury or loss of life during a mass evacuation. Since roadway capacity is insufficient to achieve successful mass evacuation if needed, there is a difference between an evacuation plan with a bunch of roads and some arrows on it and an evacuation road-by-road capacity assessment. We need to do an evacuation Capacity Assessment and have an adequate public safety environmental threshold carrying capacity put together that's workable by the TRPA.

10. **ADJOURNMENT – the meeting adjourned at 7:05 p.m.**