



## Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizens Advisory Board

DRAFT: Approval of these draft minutes, or any changes to the draft minutes, will be reflected in writing in the next meeting minutes and/or in the minutes of any future meeting where changes to these minutes are approved by the CAB.

Minutes of the Incline Village Crystal Bay Citizens Advisory Board meeting held at Incline Village General Improvement District, 893 Southwood Blvd, Incline Village, NV 89451 on March 27, 2017, 5:30 P.M.

1. **\*CALL TO ORDER/ PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE** – Pete Todoroff called the meeting to order at 5:30 P.M.
2. **\*ROLL CALL/DETERMINATION OF A QUORUM** - Pete Todoroff, Tom Cardinale, Andrew Wolf, Gerry Eick, Kevin Lyons. A quorum was determined.

Absent: Mike Sullivan, Judy Miller

### 3. **\*PUBLIC COMMENT** –

Bill P said there was an Ordinance that was passed 15 years ago regarding Snow removal and cars on the road during a snow storm. Street parking isn't allowed if two lanes aren't two safe passage lanes once your car is parked. The red day and green day surprised everyone because we didn't discuss that. Red day is any day it's being plowed and cleaning up the streets. Green day is a day where there are two safe passage lanes during parking. The County Road said they don't make this call, it's the sheriffs. There never has been parking allowed for RV, construction, snowmobiles, ever. By permit only, and not allowed at all if neighbors complained. All snow disposal in County Road or right-a-way is illegal.. It's covered in the handouts. It's has cost the county money.

Bret Hansen, District Manager of Waste Management, gave an update on trash collection. He said he wanted to introduce himself and become part of this community. He said we will work through any issue and look forward to working with the community.

Bruce Townsend said the Wells Fargo mortgage building had building lights and shields on the lights. They have changed the building lights, and now the lights shine into their units at Third Creek.

Wayne Ford said he echoed what was said about the issue of the roads and safety. He said he wanted to applaud Rich Thompson for his effort this winter and the roads crew. Once people understand they will get a ticket for street parking in the winter, the word will get around that they aren't allowed to do that. You have to build or pave for parking. You would benefit from that as a rental property. Lack of enforcement needs to change.

4. **APPROVAL OF AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF MARCH 27, 2017 - Andy proposed to move 7C up to 7A. Kevin proposed to move 7b to 7a.** Gerry Eick moved to approve the agenda for March 27, 2017 with changes to move 7c to become 7a. Andrew Wolf seconded the motion to approve the agenda with changes to move 7c to 7a. Kevin opposed. Agenda was approved 4 to 1.

5. **APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF OCTOBER 14, 2016** – tabled to the next meeting.

6. **PRESENTATION DRAFT 2017 LINKING TAHOE: REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN** – Morgan Beryl, Tahoe Regional Planning Agency Senior Transportation Planner will provide an update on the Draft 2017 Linking Tahoe: Regional Transportation Plan and be able to address questions from the community and the Citizen Advisory Board. For additional information, you can view the plan at [www.trpa.org/regional-plan/regional-transportation-plan/](http://www.trpa.org/regional-plan/regional-transportation-plan/) or contact Ms. Beryl at 775- 589-5208 or email [mberyl@trpa.org](mailto:mberyl@trpa.org). (This item is for information only and no action will be taken by the CAB).

Morgan Beryl gave a PowerPoint presentation regarding transportation strategic initiative:

- She said she is happy to take public comment.
- Regional transportation plan is overall guide updated every four years (goals, policies, and funding).
- Performance measures.
- She said they plan for 20 years.
- There isn't enough funding for transportation; looking into funds sources for projects.

Linking Tahoe: Regional transportation plan

- Managing congestions – transit, closing gaps, trail networks, and deploying electric vehicle charging stations, and other incentives to walk, bike and use transit.
- Possible charge for parking with dynamic pricing.
- She reviewed long term vision; short term achievements; plan key concepts; moving forward.

- The regional transportation plan is high level vision– must be on the list for funding; big framework vision.
- She gave a conception visual of options for transit, rail. She said they are trying to leverage funding.
- Mega regional partnerships – conversations regarding how people get here, transit while they are here.
- Reduce reliance on automobile by park and ride facilities.
- 17 corridor revitalization projects in the hopper that have guarantee funding.
- Adaptive roadway management on SR 89, 267, 50. For instance, open all lanes going one way to allow cars to move out during evacuations. Non-traditional ways of use roads.
- She spoke about existing conditions, short term and long term goals. Needs to work with active transportation system.
- Shared use path – 20 miles have been approved with funding.
- Provide people with information they need before they come up here.
- Conducting fuel site analysis. Electric vehicle charging station.
- Incentive programs
- Real time information – trip planning tool; incentive to make choices.
- The envisioned Tahoe region system. Mountain to lake connection.
- Data: 10 million are on our roads annually; 24 million visits per year
- Outreach: 800 people reached – door to door; stakeholder meetings
- Sequenced approach – travel behavior, sequencing
- Everyday Tahoe: short distance trips – a lot of the projects are encouraging people to walk, bike (transit in 2012)
- Discover Tahoe: travel to recreation sites – most of our trips are to sites; that’s why we live here and travel here (2017)
- Visit Tahoe: mobility hubs, interregional transit with multiple agencies (2021)
- User type and travel behavior: different types of people – residents, visitors and commuters – how are they using the area. Most trips are travel to our recreational sites. ‘Discover Tahoe’ people are using automobile.
- Planning for flexible system – demands on what we are seeing at the time of the year. Working with public and private stakeholders. Changing check out times at hotels, planning tools in order to shift travel patterns.
- LTinfo.org – look up project, contact person, funding. EIP tracker.
- TRPA is trying to be a transparent data clearing house.
- Transportation is transformation.
- A public member asked about capacity. Morgan said we want to move people efficiently.
- Morgan said they work closely with emergency service partners – they have evacuation plans.

Comments:

Sally L. asked about a light rail. Morgan said increase transit capacity on the transit and offer express service.

Kendra Wong asked for projects planned for Incline Village/Crystal Bay. Morgan said RTP list includes the SR 28 corridor parking, path, shoulder parking, and water quality improvements. The path from Sand Harbor to Spooner is under environmental analysis. Incline to Crystal Bay is on the active project list. Nothing is happening at the moment.

Sally said she lives at Tahoe racquet club, and wants to walk to Raleys. She said all winter, she has driven. The walking paths aren’t plowed. She said this is a summer plan, not winter. Morgan said it’s their policy to encourage agencies to keep the walking paths plowed.

Aaron Vanderpool asked if TRPA can be involved with the Squaw Valley and Martis Peak which are outside the basin. Morgan said we are working with Placer County for impacts on SR 89. She said they have made comments on projects outside the basin; they have paid toward clean air. Squaw is a willing partner.

Pete said the crosswalk at Kings Beach is a dangerous situation. He said Tahoe City is planning the same thing. Morgan said she disagreed; roundabouts reduce issues with pedestrian. The types of injuries don’t involve pedestrian and bicyclist. Roundabouts are not created the same. Kings Beach was constrained. Construction is impacting the traffic flow. Kevin said he hasn’t heard anything about the benefits to the cars.

A public member asked about analysis of smaller bus and more of them. He said it’s a waste of gas with use of the big buses. There are only two people utilizing the buses.

Kevin Lyons asked about point to point versus scheduled route. Morgan said tart is upgrading to 30 minute service. They have done a lot of analytics; there is a higher ridership in the morning.

**7. DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS – The project description is provided below with links to the application or you may visit the Planning and Development Division website and select the Application Submittals page: [http://www.washoecounty.us/comdev/da/da\\_index.htm](http://www.washoecounty.us/comdev/da/da_index.htm).**

**7A. Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 (Meyer-McSherry)** – Request for community feedback, discussion and possible recommendation to approve a variance to reduce the front yard setback from 20 feet to 10 feet 8 inches to allow for the construction of a 2 car garage and associated bedroom/hallway connection.

- Applicant: Charles Meyer and Suzanne McSherry
- Property Owner: Meyer-McSherry Family Trust
- Location: 380 Tuscarora Road, Crystal Bay
- Assessor's Parcel Number: 123-142-15
- Staff: Chad Giesinger, 775-328-3626, [cgiesinger@washoecounty.us](mailto:cgiesinger@washoecounty.us)
- Reviewing Body: The following case is tentatively scheduled to be heard by the Board of Adjustment on April 6, 2017

Mr. Smith proposed a variance to approve a garage on Tuscarora. He showed a diagram of existing conditions and proposed conditions.

Requesting variance: proposed garage would be 15 feet back from the traveled way. The property has 43% slope. 100 feet, it rises 43 feet. It's a very steep slope. He said if they build behind the 15 setback, it wouldn't meet the TRPA height requirements. Kevin asked for clarification on the slope. Mr. Smith said its 43%, approximately 20 degrees. Andrew asked about an existing variance, and does the proposed variance include the existing building. Mr. Smith said it's difficult to track previous variance. He said he doubt it does; it was built prior to enforcement. The County hasn't indicated that needs to be brought into compliance.

Pete asked about one car versus two car garage. Mr. Smith said they resubmitted the application to remove the tree. The application referenced a bridge to save the tree, but now they are getting rid of it. TRPA has approved the removal of the tree.

Tom asked the side yard setback. Mr. Smith said its 5 feet.

**MOTION: Gerry Eick moved to recommend approval of Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 (Meyer-McSherry). Kevin Lyons seconded the motion to recommend Variance case WPVAR17-0001. Motion passed unanimously.**

**7B. Special Use Permit Case Number WSUP17-0004 (Lake Tahoe School)** – Request for community feedback, discussion and possible recommendation action to amend Special Use Permit SB13-004, to allow for the construction of a 13,906 square foot multi-purpose building and reconfiguration of the access road that serves Lake Tahoe School and the Tahoe Racquet Club subdivision.

- Applicant/Property Owner: Lake Tahoe School
- Location: 955 Tahoe Boulevard
- Assessor's Parcel Numbers: 127-583-05 and 127-030-21
- Staff: Eva M. Krause, 775-328-3628, [ekrause@washoecounty.us](mailto:ekrause@washoecounty.us)
- Reviewing Body: The following case is tentatively scheduled to be heard by the Board of Adjustment on April 6, 2017

Nick E., the project representative, introduced himself. He broke the project into components:

- Site reconfiguration of the project – consists of existing road between school and racquet club. It wasn't desired. Kids have to interact with cars. One component would be to relocated to west in order to remove the conflict with kids and people accessing site to the rear. The people accessing site to the rear have no sidewalks. The proposed project has sidewalks. Site reconfiguration priority is safety, BMPs installed to non-bmp compliant areas and BMP improvements.
- Second component: An addition of a multiple use room building, 14,000 sq ft, in order to improve school experience.
- Third component would be the stream channel. TRPA said the current stream is in poor condition. It takes sediments into the lake. This project would SEZ stream restoration.

Three components:

- School safety
- Functionality and experience
- Environment – stream restoration. Private capital to pay for restoration.

He said he has spoken with the public regarding this project.

Tom Cardinale asked about one story building for the multi use building. Nick said yes, and it's within TRPA parameters. It will appear 8-10 feet lower due to the cut of the building.

Nick said the site has been going through evolution over time. Dilapidated building was removed. Nick showed on a map where the multi-purpose building and proposed driveway would be.

Andrew Wolf asked why a special use permit is required. Nick said TRPA Community Plan Process and Community Plan Statement states what exists and what you can or cannot do on a property. The school site use to be a commercial building. Through the community plan, they legitimized what was on the site and didn't look to the future for what could be built. Schools are under the special use process. Andrew said Deer Creek, Lake Tahoe School, and the Racquet Club are considered commercial through the County. Nick said he didn't know what existed prior at Deer Creek. He said they have been working with County and TRPA to update the Regional Plan Update.

Andrew asked we are here today for the special use permit. The school function is not automatically allowed in that zoning. The application said there is no negative impact to the adjoining properties. Nick said it was a dilapidating structure when it was a former commercial structure and the entitlements were taken away; Nick said we see this as a benefit from where we were. He said we are providing safe access that doesn't currently exist. He said they are looking to mitigate the concerns raised by the neighbors, Deer Creek, including noise, light, and parking, as part of the impacts of the relocation of the driveway down to the club. Nick said we did a parking analysis and it works. He said they have done a study for parking and traffic for the multiuse building. He said he would answer the questions differently after having conversations with the concerned neighbors. He said he is compiling comments and looks to mitigate issues prior to the Board of Adjustment meeting on April 6.

Tom Cardinale asked if the fire department has been included in discussion. Nick said the fire department will review all applications. Tom asked if they have been spoken to. Nick said yes, he has spoken to them. He said it was about defensible space, however. Todd Lankenau introduced himself as the architect for the building. He said they have applied for Special Use Permits in the past. Todd explained Special Use Permits are required on school sites. He said all prior SUP were approved. He said the civil engineer has been to the fire department to review the turning radius, etc. and they approved.

Kevin Lyons asked about the slope of the proposed driveway. Todd explained the slope of the proposed driveway. Kevin said he asked if there is more room at the top of the driveway for cars pulling out onto 28. Todd said yes, probably two cars.

Andrew Wolf asked about the proposed acreage map. He said the Racquet Club has to approve your map to re-align. Todd said he believe it's a floating easement. The way it was written, it's allow to be locating to anywhere on the property per Lake Tahoe School. He said he isn't the surveyor.

Chuck Weinberger said that easement can be relocated as much as we want on our property. He said he doesn't need approval; it's just more of a courtesy.

Gerry Eick asked about the traffic study, which is focused on parking. He asked about the slope and approach road. Have to think about the entering of 28. There is a safe lane across from current driveway. He asked if you have talked to NDOT about the consequences of entering Tahoe blvd from the proposed driveway. Nick said yes, they looked at that, they didn't think a new turn lane was needed. Sarah Tone said NDOT will be reviewing it before final approval. Kevin said there is a bus stop there which blocks the ability to move around cars. Can it be widen it? Nick said we aren't proposing to widen it. NDOT will review this.

Nick reviewed the landscape plan to enhance visual appeal and provide vegetative screening along Deer Creek. Visual enhancement and mitigate scenic impact off the site. Andrew asked if there is room to accomplish what is being requested or does it impede; he asked if there is room for another 5-10 feet. Todd said it's tight on internal dimensions. He said there are 10 feet between road and property line. Todd said if we plant some trees along there, that will help with concerns about screening with headlights and cars noises. That entire roadway will have a speed limit and speed bumps. We won't have cars racing down that road; it's a school zone.

Nick said emergency vehicles require certain turning radius. He said in the overall design, there was a component for enhancing the stream channel. Nick said the setback is predicated on the conditions of the stream channel. Depending on the degradation of the stream, it precludes and prohibits development. With the limitations and the stream, while maximizing safety, we will do the best we can with what is available

Public Comment:

Timothy Kerrigan said he is a Deer Court resident, HOA secretary. He said they don't have a problem with a reasonable sized multi use room, but what they are proposing is a gymnasium. He said it grew to 14,000 sq ft. He said the planned

location was originally 12,000 or 10,000 sq ft, and the current access road could stay there. The average multipurpose room is 3,500 sq ft of a school with a larger population. This size overwhelms the property. The functions of the school don't warrant the size of such a room. He said he is a retired principal and consultant of school use in Foster City. He said in a 1,600 kid school in Foster City, he had 3,000 sq ft. multipurpose room. He said he doesn't believe there needs to be a special permit for private school. It's a special permit for a community building. The lawyer said it can be rented to the community; we shouldn't allow it to happen.

John Collins gave a handout of a plot map of the Racquet Club with the history on the back. He said it outlines points of history of the Racquet Club. He said the school site is where the tennis courts use to be, then it became a medical building, and rebuilt into the school. He said we were notified in November last year by Mr. Weinberger. He said he met with Chuck Weinberger to get the details. He said he wanted to keep it confidential and not to be distributed to public or newspaper because the board nor the parents had not been informed and it had not been discussed. He said they met and went over the project without architectural drawings.

Debi Moore, 21 year resident and owner at the Tahoe Racquet Club (TRC), member of Board of Directors of TRC. Owners have concerns of expansion. It will have an effect on the complex. Application has wrong name for the Racquet Club. It has negative impacts on adjacent neighbors. Not enough studies have been conducted. We are landlocked. The school owns portion of the complex that was once the tennis courts. She said it was one development and split up over the years. We have been leasing a tennis court lot from LTS for 40 parking spaces and dumpster space. She said we are losing that space. Public safety is primary concern. She thanked the board for asking about the conversations with NDOT and the fire departments feedback. There is a lot of traffic to the school. She is concerned for safety, especially during winter. More studies are needed. We want to collaborate and meet with them more.

Timothy Heying said he is a Tahoe Racquet Club resident. He said they are not in favor of the right-a-way. He said the way it's designed is drive through the parking lot, it's not very nice. Another thing not mention is their parking area. Right now, he said we lease part of their parking; half that land. He said if they take that from us, we won't have a place to park. He said snow storage is another concern. He asked where will we dump snow in the winter. We lease the snow space now and we won't have it in the future.

Sierra L. said she is a Tahoe Racquet Club homeowner. She said she loves the plan. She said can touch the ceiling of the current multipurpose room. She said it's an appropriate space for them. The current ingress and egress should be located off of Coyote by the Rec Center. We would have a back entrance. They have two roads by Rec Center that would be appropriate for traffic and help with safety with the kids. We would get a gorgeous entrance.

Ralph Kuhn said he has been a Deer Court resident since 2002. He said he received the courtesy notice to participate in the future of the neighborhood. He said he enjoys his deck, and is concerned with the impacts on the homes and the daily life. The proposed ingress/egress would produce noise pollution, car pollution with the daily access by Racquet club, and headlights. Ralph talked about the traffic and the afterschool uses. He stated the Special Use Permits' impact statements. The application states there are no negative impacts. He said they have been kept in the dark. The snow storage is inadequate; it will be filled quickly during storm events. He said no snow can be put into the creek which limits the snow storage. He said spoke to the fire department and he believes they haven't signed off on it and the new proposed vehicle access.

Mike Smith said he lives on Deer Court and is a board member of the association. He said we urge the CAB to reject this application. By moving the access road closer to Deer Court will put the entrance of the two properties 100 feet within each other. He said there isn't enough space for safe traffic. There is grossly inadequate snow storage. He said he is concerned with snow removal onto Deer Court properties and damage to fences. If they have to truck out snow, it will be more noise. He said snow removal will trickle into the stream. Some real estate professionals said it would reduce the property value of 10%. That is over \$1million dollar reduction in value. We ask this project be rejected and a new application be submitted with existing entrance. This safety can be captured with the same entrance.

Harry McVeigh, Deer Court resident, said Lake Tahoe School (LTS) has had been a good neighbor. LTS needs to meet with the Deer Court and the Tahoe Racquet Club. He suggested to get rid of the trash dump and move the road away from the stream. He made suggestions using the map to allow a bigger buffer. Squeeze the building closer to allow space for the road improvements. He said we can work together for much better proposal.

Tom Cardinale asked about capacity limit for multiuse room. Todd said the actual capacity won't change because there will be the same amount of people at the school. Todd said he doesn't recall the number of capacity.

Gerry Eick said he has heard a lot about things that still need to be addressed before going to the Board of Adjustment. He asked if there is an opportunity to revisit this. Nick said approval only happens in steps. Nick said we have to go through the procedural processes. They look at its entirety. Gerry said if this is one step, can you give us an idea of

timeline for the additional steps. People are sympathetic to the idea, but people deserve to know when other adjustments can be made and where we go. Nick reviewed the further process including TRPA for final review and approval by the governing board in June. He said the neighbors will be notified. Nick explained the approval process for the road component; multipurpose room; SEZ component TRPA/Army Corp/Nevada Environmental.

Todd said those concerns will be reviewed by the experts by the fire department and NDOT, etc. They will kick it back until it's right. This is limited to the use of the building, not the construction of the building.

Kevin asked about the use of the road— private access road.

Sarah said can take positions to approve, not approve, or no position. You can move to forward the comments to the board. You don't have to segregate the projects.

Nick said he compiled the concerns to see what possible mitigations would work and bring it forward.

Andrew said the new access road is wider than the currently existing road from 18 to 24 plus 5 foot sidewalks. Andrew said this is tourist commercial zoning. There was previous approved Special Use Permits. We are asked to approve expansion of school use. To those who oppose this, what else could be put here. It could be anything within the tourist commercial use. He said we are here to allow the propriety of a use, which is a school use. He said we are not approving anything else. Sarah said the planner is listed on the agenda. Please send Eva Krause any information or concerns regarding this project. To help staff, please send it to them by Friday. Misty will send the memo to the planner.

Kendra Wong recommended the County to get Tahoe Blvd designated as a school zone.

**MOTION: Kevin Lyons moved to forward comments to Washoe County with minutes. As a school project, we would recommend approval as it is an appropriate use. Andrew Wolf seconded. Pete Todoroff opposed. Motion passed 4 to 1.**

**7C. Administrative Permit Case Number WADMIN17-0002 (Incline Fine Art Festival)** – Request for community feedback, discussion and possible recommendation to the Washoe County Board of Adjustments to approve an outdoor community event in the Parks and Recreation (PR) zoning district. The proposed outdoor community event would occur at Preston Field on August 11-13, 2017 from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. • Applicant: CWB Events, LLC Curtis Beck PO Box 1747 Carmichael, CA 95609

- Property Owner: Incline Village General Improvement District 893 Southwood Blvd. Incline Village, NV 89451
- Location: 700 Tahoe Blvd., Incline Village (Preston Field)
- Assessor's Parcel Number: 124-032-33
- Staff: Joe Prutch, Planner, 775-328-3627, [jprutch@washoecounty.us](mailto:jprutch@washoecounty.us)
- Reviewing Body: The following case is tentatively scheduled to be heard by the Board of Adjustment on April 6, 2017

**Motion: Kevin moved to approved as submitted. Andrew seconded the motion to approve as submitted. The motion passed unanimously.**

Pete Todoroff suggested having law enforcement present at the festival to help with parking issues.

**8.\*COUNTY UPDATE** – Sarah Tone, Management Analyst from the Office of the County Manager will provide an update on County services and is available to answer questions and concerns. The update will include timeline and next steps for the Tahoe Area Plan. Please feel free to contact the Office of the County Manager at (775) 328-2000. To sign up to receive email updates from the County visit [www.washoecounty.us/cmail](http://www.washoecounty.us/cmail). (This item is for information only and no action will be taken by the CAB).

- She said it's an interesting time for the County.
- The County has been busy addressing flooding and snow removal and the after effects.
- In Lemmon Valley, she said they are dealing with failing septic systems due to rising waters. 80 residents are affected; 30 can't be in their house.
- Middle of legislative session – tracking 600 bills – Washoe County has a team in Carson and Washoe county offices.
- Tahoe Area Plan: Staff has been working on adjustments and taking TRPA considerations. Two staff members have been dedicated to this project. Working on timeline completion: June – give an update; May through July – performance measurements; Master plan amendments; Public comment process takes us into next year; Fall – more structured public comment forums; April 2018 – TRPA led forum.

- Pedestrian paths and snow removal – unique year; focusing on keeping roads clear for emergency access. Equipment failures happened, but all equipment is back up ready to go. The priority paths are cleared first for schools and emergency.
- Commissioner Berkbigler is ill; she couldn't attend tonight.
- Kevin asked about priority roads and how they are determined by traffic engineers – emergency access roads, schools, cul-de-sacs.

#### **9. \*CHAIRMAN/BOARD MEMBER ITEMS/NEXT AGENDA ITEMS -**

- Gerry Eick said we need to follow up on the concerns with the Green/Red Day – invite law enforcement/snow removal regarding green and red code enforcement and access to right-a-ways.
- Tom requested a meeting possible for June or July for the Area Plan update – Want a community forum in the second half of July (3<sup>rd</sup> week) for the Tahoe Area Plan. Sarah said she would like to host a community forum in April/May to discuss Incline issues. She said she would like to bring up a crew to discuss incline issues. Gerry said May worked well last year.
- Tom said he would like to discuss College Drive; there are no walkways on College. People are still concerned and nothing has happened. Sarah said that has brought to the CSD Director's attention in September. CSD director had the traffic engineer go out and look at it. She encouraged CAB to forward those contacts of the concerned citizens to the CSD.
- Kevin asked about sidewalk plan. Gerry said it goes along with the Tahoe area plan. Sarah said she wants to bring up a team to discuss these issues.
- Gerry said we need more information regarding the water quality projects.
- Andy said the forums are more flexible. The issues have been mentioned tonight would be best in a forum.

**10. \*PUBLIC COMMENT** – Limited to no more than three (3) minutes. Anyone may speak pertaining to any matter either on or off the agenda. The public are requested to submit a Request to Speak form to the Board Chairman. Comments are to be addressed to the Board as a whole.

Aaron Vanderpool said he has spoken to the CAB regarding issues on Oriole. The County filled in the ditch between Royal Pines and his court. He said it would have been nice to have a discussion with the County prior. It's reduced snow storage; 6 vehicles have not moved during the winter. Two fire hydrants weren't dug out. He said the plows stay away from the vehicles which creates a narrower road. The snow removal guy throws snow onto their private parking. There is a giant puddle on Royal Pines. People still speed up and down the street. Low visibility; problem with safety. With Washoe County proposing budget and forum coming up, He said he can bring this back to the forum. He spoke about the Lake Tahoe School issues and how snow would end up in the creek.

Wayne Ford said he wanted to follow up with Sarah. There is a missing component of water issues in the Tahoe Area Plan; flooding with water runoff. Wayne Ford read a statement. It's the responsibility of the Tahoe Master plan. Before you put sidewalks in, you need to have a well definite plan. People have put in their own BMPs to control water off on their sites. County water runs onto their property. We are never going to get the water directed the way it should with this current attitude.

Dave Norton said his background is in subdivision development and real estate consulting. He knows about public water. Public water can't run across private land. From Kelly to Winding Way is dumped onto his property. He said they put in BMPs prior to him owning it. He said his downstairs had flooded which hadn't been disclosed before. He said he cleaned the ditch multiple times a year. He said sand and pine needles fill the ditch. He asked what will buy that place if they disclose the water issues. He said the County's water crosses the land. The Tahoe Area plan needs to include water.

John Collins, President of Tahoe Racquet Club. He showed a map with creeks (Incline Creek tributary). He showed on the map the leased land from LTS; it doesn't expire until next year. They asked us to cancel the lease. We aren't interested in cancelling the lease. There will be a lot of equipment and asphalt torn up. He said he wanted to make sure it was stated.

**ADJOURNMENT- Meeting adjourned at 8:13 p.m.**

Number of CAB members present: 5  
 Number of Public Present: 36  
 Presence of Elected Officials: 0  
 Number of staff present: 1  
 Submitted By: Misty Moga